1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EDITH MACIAS, individually and on behalf of similarly situated individuals; HOTON DURAN; TIFFANY HUYNH; AURA MENDIETA; WILLIAM LABOY; MIGUEL ACOSTA; CRUZ ACOSTA; CUAUHTEMOC TORAL; and TERESA VILLEGAS,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  THOMAS J. TOMANEK; and MARK GARIBALDI, individually and doing business as THE GARIBALDI COMPANY,  Defendants.	CASE NO. C07 3437 JSW  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE RESCHEDULING HEARING DATE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS, AND RESCHEDULING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADR DEADLINES	
21   22	STIPULATION		
23	WHEREAS,		
24			
25	1. The two Defendants herein have each filed motions to dismiss, and due to a		
26	misunderstanding as to the availability of hearing dates, set the hearing on those motions		
27	for February 15, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.		
28		1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ]ORDER RE RESCHEDULING HEARING DATE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADR DEADLINES	

2. The parties wish to have those motions heard earlier than currently scheduled, as			
set forth below.			
3			
3. Pursuant to the court's Order	3. Pursuant to the court's Order Setting Initial Case Management Deadlines and		
ADR Deadlines, filed on June 29, 2007 (Document 2), the following deadlines and hearing			
dates were set:			
7			
	re disclosures, ADR, etc. and last day to file Joint		
ADR Certification, etc.			
0 – 10/12/07 Last day to file Ru	ale 26(f) Report and Case Management Statement		
1			
2 – 10/19/2007 Initial Case Mana	gement Conference (1:30 p.m.)		
3			
4. All parties herein have agreed, through their respective attorneys of record, that			
they would like to re-set the hearing date on the motions to dismiss, and would also like to			
re-set the above-referenced deadlines and initial CMC hearing date to a date after the			
hearing on the motions to dismiss.			
8			
9 THEREFORE, all parties hereb	by stipulate and jointly request that the court re-set		
0 the deadlines and hearing dates listed is	n paragraphs 1 and 3 above as follows:		
1			
New hearing date/time for motions to d	lismiss: November 30, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.		
3	.1		
New last day to confer re disclosures and ADR and discovery plan, and file ADR			
5 Certification with Stip to ADR:	December 14, 2007		
7 Management Statement, etc.	December 21, 2007		
8	CTIDIU ATION AND INDODOSED IODDED		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ]ORDER RE RESCHEDULING HEARING DATE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND INITIAL CASE		
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	set forth below.  3. Pursuant to the court's Order ADR Deadlines, filed on June 29, 2007 dates were set:  - 9/28/07		

MANAGEMENT AND ADR DEADLINES

1	New date for Initial Case  Management Conference  January 11, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.
2	
3	The above is SO STIPULATED.
4	DATED: 9-20-07 BRANCART & BRANCART
5	DATED: 47-00-0 P BRANCART & BRANCART
6 7	Elzel LA
8	ELIZABETH NOONAN BRANCART Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	
10	
11	DATED: ALLMAN & NIELSEN
12	
13	SARA B. ALLMAN
14	Attorneys for Defendant Thomas J. Tomanek
15	
16	
17	DATED: 9/20/07 FARBSTEIN & BLACKMAN, APC
18	$\mathcal{A}$
19 20	JOHN S. BLACKMAN Attornova for Defendants Mark Conibaldiand
21	Attorneys for Defendants Mark Garibaldi and The Garibaldi Company
22	
23	<u>ORDER</u>
24	
25	Good cause appearing therefor, the court orders changes to the scheduling in this
26	case as follows:
27	
28	3 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ]ORDER
	RE RESCHEDULING HEARING DATE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND INITIAL CASE
	MANAGEMENT AND ADR DEADLINES

1	New date for Initial Case Management Conference	January 11, 2008 at 1:30 р.т.
2		21, 2000 at 2120 p.m.
3	The above is SO STIPULATED.	
4		
5	DATED:	BRANCART & BRANCART
6		
7		ELIZABETH NOONAN BRANCART
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	DATED: 9-20-07	0 (
12	DATED: 4.00 0 1	ALLMAN & NIELSEN, P. C.
13		
14		SARA B. ALLMAN
15		Attorneys for Defendant Thomas J. Tomanck
16		
17	DATED:	
18	DATED:	FARBSTEIN & BLACKMAN, APC
19		
20		JOHN S. BLACKMAN
21		Attorneys for Defendants Mark Garibaldi and The Garibaldi Company
22		
23	ORDER	
24	<u>C</u>	XXXXX
25	Good cause appearing therefor, the	court orders changes to the scheduling in this
	Good cause appearing therefor, the court orders changes to the scheduling in this case as follows:	
27		
28		3
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED JORDER RE RESCHEDULING HEARING DATE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADR DEADLINES

## New hearing date/time for motions to dismiss: November 30, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. 1 [or: \_\_\_\_] 2 3 New last day to meet and confer re initial 4 disclosures, early settlement, ADR process 5 selection, and discovery plan December 14, 2007 [or: \_\_\_\_\_] 6 7 8 New last day to file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report December 21, 2007 per Standing Order 10 [or: \_\_\_\_\_] 11 12 13 New date for Initial Case Management Conference, in Courtroom 2, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor at 1:30 p.m. January 4, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. 14 15 16 17 JEFFREY S. WHITE 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED |ORDER RE RESCHEDULING HEARING DATE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADR DEADLINES

Case 3:07-cv-03437-JSW Document 15 Filed 09/20/2007 Page 5 of 5